

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,927	06/27/2003	Tung-Hua Su	13832 B	7017
36672	7590 05/04/2005	EXAMINER		INER
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQ. 90 JOHN STREET			VU, STEPHEN A	
THIRD FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10038			. 3636	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	~~~					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,927	SU, TUNG-HUA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen A Vu	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s), is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: <u>Appendix A</u> .	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/608,927

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arehart et al (#6,230,455).

Arehart et al show a structure comprising an endless main frame (12) (see Appendix A- Figure 4) including a groove defined in a side and extending along an entire length, the groove being delimited by a bottom wall and an inner peripheral wall having an endless end face, a mesh (64) fixed to the endless face of the inner peripheral wall delimiting the groove, a decorative frame (52) mounted to the side of the endless main frame and covering the groove and the endless end face of the main frame, and a covering member (62) covering the decorative frame wherein the bottom wall delimiting the groove has a plurality of fixing holes defined. The decorative frame has a plurality of holes with a plurality of fasteners (54) respectively extending through the holes of the decorative frame and the fixing holes of the bottom wall delimiting the groove.

### Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/608,927

Art Unit: 3636

Applicant's arguments filed January 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It's the examiner's position that claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arehart et al (#6,230,455). The applicant has argued that the structure of Arehart et al does not disclose the applicant's backrest invention. The examiner disagrees. The prior art of Arehart et al is deemed to be valid, since Arehart et al. show each and every element of the applicant's claimed invention. A user can rest his back against the structure of Arehart et al, and this would be interpreted as a backrest. In addition, the applicant stated that the prior art does not show a groove. In response, the examiner would like to direct the applicant to Appendix A- Figure 4, wherein a groove is shown to be on a side and extending along an entire length, the groove has been delimited by a bottom wall and an inner peripheral wall having an endless end face.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3636

4

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A Vu whose telephone number is (571)272-6862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:30 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M Cuomo can be reached on (571)272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Vu

April 26, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner **Technology Center 3600** 

Page 4

U.S. Patent May 15, 2001 US 6,230,455 B1 Sheet 2 of 3 56 0 1